

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL
SOUTHERN ZONE, CHENNAI**

Application No. 14 of 2012 (SZ)

Applicant(s) Respondent(s)
Shri N. Rajendran Vs. The Commissioner, Rasipuram
Chandrasekaranpurai Post Municipality, Rasipuram

Legal Practitioners for Applicant(s) Legal Practitioners for Respondents
Shri J. Srinivasa Mohan M/s. Abdul Saleem ad S. Saravanan
Advocate Advocates for-1 and R-5
Shri A. Ilango, Advocate for R-2
M/s. M.K. Subramanian, Advocate for R-3

Application No. 13 of 2013 (SZ)

Applicant(s) Respondent(s)
S. Subramanian, Nallathur Post Vs. The District Collector, Villupnam
and others

Legal Practitioners for Applicant(s) Legal Practitioners for Respondents
M/s. N. Subramani and R. Nithyanandam M/s. M.K. Subramanian and M.R. Gokul
Advocates Krishnan, Advocates for R-1 & R-4
Shri A. Ilango, Advocate for R-2 and R-3
M/s. Abdul Saleem ad S. Saravanan
Advocates for R-5

Application No. 42 of 2013 (SZ)

Applicant(s) Respondent(s)
Shri P Radhakrishnan, Ooty Vs. The Ministry of Environment and
Forests, Govt of India
and others

Legal Practitioners for Applicant(s) Legal Practitioners for Respondents
M/s. M.Santhanaraman and Smt. C. Sangamithirai, Advocate for R-1
M.R. Sivakumar, Advocates M/s. M.K. Subramaian, Adbul Saleem
M.R. Gokul Krishnan, Advocates for R-2
R-3 and R-4

Application No. 44 of 2013 (SZ) (THC)

(W.P. (MD) No.14642 of 2012, Madurai Bench of Madras High Court)

Applicant(s) Respondent(s)
Periappa Nagar Residents Welfare Vs. The District Collector
Association Dindigul District
Periappa Nagar, Palani, Dindigul Dist. and another

Legal Practitioners for Applicant(s)
M/s. Sarvabhauman Associates
Advocates

Legal Practitioners for Respondents
M/s. M.K. Subramanian and M.R. Gogul
Krishnan, Advocates for R-1
M/s. Abdul Saleem and S. Saravanan,
Advocates for R-2

Application No. 63 of 2013 (SZ) (THC)
(W.P. No. 27169 of 2012, High Court of Madras)

Applicant(s)
C. Suresh, Chennai

Vs.

Respondent(s)
The Chairman, Tamil Nadu
Pollution Control Board, and others

Legal Practitioners for Applicant(s)
M/s. R. Murali, C. Prabakaran and
R. Raghavendran, advocates

Legal Practitioners for Respondents
Smt. H Yasmeen Ali, Advocate for R-1
Shri Abdul Saleem, Advocate for
R-2 to R-5, R-7 and R-9
M/s. M.K. Subramanian and
M.R. Gokul Krishnan, Advocate for R-6

Application No. 93 of 2013 (SZ) (THC)

Applicant(s)
Shri R. Arumugam, Kuthambakkam,
Chennai-600 124.

Vs.

Respondent(s)
The Union of India, rep. by its
Secretary, Ministry of Environment and
Forests, New Delhi and others

Legal Practitioners for Applicant(s)
M/s. L.G. Sahadevan and
R.S. Mangalakumar, advocates

Legal Practitioners for Respondents
Smt. C. Sangamithirai, Advocate
for R-1
M/s. M.K. Subramanian and
M.R. Gokul Krishnan, Advocates
for R-3 and R-8
M/s. Abdul Saleem and S. Saravanan
advocates for R-4, R-9 and R-10
Shri A. Ilango, Advocate for R-5 and R-6

Application No. 234 of 2013 (SZ)

Applicant(s)
Shri V. Eswaran, Coimbatore

Vs.

Respondent(s)
The District Collector,
Coimbatore District and others

Legal Practitioners for Applicant(s)
M/s. M/s. S. Kolandasamy, C.S. Saravanan
And M. Selvalakshmi, advocates

Legal Practitioners for Respondents
M/s. M.K Subramanian and
M.R. Gopalakrishnan, Advocates for R-1
M/s. Abdul Saleem and
S. Saravanan, Advocates, for R-2
Smt. Rita Chandrasekar, Advocate for R-3

Application No. 264 of 2013 (SZ)

Applicant(s)
Shri K.S. Mohan, Pothanur
Coimbatore-23

Vs.

Respondent(s)
The Union of India, Ministry of
environment and Forests, New Delhi
and others

Legal Practitioners for Applicant(s)
M/s. A. Yogeshwaran,
advocate

Legal Practitioners for Respondents
Smt. C. Sangamithirai, Advocate for R-1
M/s. M.K. Subramanian and M.R. Gokul
Krishnan, Advocates for R-2, R-6 and R-9
M/s. Abdul Saleem and S. Saravanan,
and Vidhyalakshmi, Advocates, for R-3
R-4, R-5, R-10 to R-12
Smt. H. Yasmeen Ali, Advocate
for R-7 and R-8

Note of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
Order No. 10	<p>Date : 13th January 2014</p> <p>When the matter is taken up for hearing this day, the counsel for all parties are present. It is submitted by the counsel for the respondent No. 7 that some procedural formalities have to be adopted before convening the meeting by the Chief Secretary. Hence this may be adjourned by a reasonable time and accordingly, the matter is posted to 05.02.2014.</p> <p>Application No. 63 of 2013 (SZ) (THC):</p> <p>It is represented by the counsel appearing for the applicant that though there was an interim injunction granted against the Poonamallee Municipality not to dump the solid waste into the Poonamallee lake by an order dated 24.5.2013 of the Tribunal, the dumping process continues and hence, it is to be taken very seriously.</p> <p>In answer, it is contended by the counsel for the 7th respondent, on instructions from the official present,</p>

that from the time of the interim order, no garbage was actually dumped into the Poonamalee lake and dumped only on the banks and definitely not in the water body. But the said fact is disputed by the counsel for the applicant. The counsel for the 7th respondent seeks time so that a report can be filed after making an inspection by the authorities. The 7th respondent is directed to file a report in the next nearing.

Application No. 264 of 2013 (SZ):

It is contended by the counsel appearing for the applicant that no respondent has filed any reply in the matter and the counsel for the respondents seek further time to file reply.

The matters are posted to **05.02.2014**.

Prof. Dr. R. Nagendran Justice M. Chockalingam
(Expert Member) (Judicial Member)

